IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 1862 of 2005 (S/S)

1. Vinod Singh Rawat
   S/o Pratap Singh Rawat
2. Birendra Singh
   S/o Shri Baldev Singh Panwar
3. Bhagwati Prasad Uniyal
   S/o Kishori Lal Uniyal. ……..Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal, through
   Secretary Revenue Department
   Dehradun.
2. Commissioner Garhwal Mandal, Pauri
3. District Magistrate, Tehri Garhwal.
4. Sub District Magistrate, Devprayag
   District Tehri Garhwal. ……Respondents.

None present for the petitioner.
Learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J

None present for the petitioner.

2. Learned Standing Counsel present for the respondents.

3. The petitioners No. 1 and 2, Collection Amin and petitioner No. 3, Collection Peon, have sought regularization in service. In view of the principle of law laid down in ***Secretary, State of Karnataka Vs. Uma Devi, reported in (2006) 4 Supreme Court Cases, Pg. 01,*** wherein it has been held that the workers on daily wages or the persons who get employed without undergoing regular selection process, should not be permitted to be absorbed or regularised against the constitutional scheme of public employment, this Court is not inclined to issue direction to regularize services of the petitioners, as such, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

3. The writ petition is dismissed. Interim order dated 15.12.2005, is hereby vacated. (All pending applications also stand disposed of).

Dt. 24.11.2006 **(Prafulla C. Pant, J.)**
S